ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಧಾನಸಭೆ

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Wednesday, 11th March 1970.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. D. KOTHAVALE) in the Chair

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

PROGRAMME FOR REMOVING REGIONAL IMBALANCES IN THE STATE

284. SRI D. B. KALMANKAR (Aland).—

Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether Sri M. V. Krishnappa, M. P., has sent a questionnaire regarding the neglect of the old Mysore area ;

(*b*) whether any replies have been given by the Government, if so, whether the Government will place the correspondence on the table of the House ;

(*c*) which area of Mysore State (old Mysore area, Bombay Karnatak area, Hyderabad Karnatak area or the other integrated area) is backward in development of agriculture, educatian, health, employment, representation in Government services, irrigation, roads, Government buildings and industry ;

(*d*) the *per capita* expenditure incurred by the State Government on the items mentioned in (*c*) in the different areas mentioned therein since the inception of new State of Mysore in the year 1956 ;

(*e*) the special programme the Government has envisaged to remove the regional imbalance, if any, in the State ?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Yes, Sir.

(*b*) A general reply has been given. Government does not feel it necessary to place this correspondence on the table of the House.

(*c*) And (*d*) Regarding the development of various regions, the position has been set out in the brochure placed by the Chief Minister on the table of the House on 9th September 1969. Copy placed in the Mysore Legislative Library.

(*e*) This will be considered at the proper time.

SRI D. B. KALMANKAR — In answer to (*b*) it has been stated that a general reply has been given. May I know why that reply cannot be placed before this House ?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL.—So far as questionnaire letter addressed to me is concerned, I think they have already been published in the papers. I have sent a reply to him and I have stated therein that this is confidential. I have not released that reply to the press also. Therefore I do not feel it is necessary to place it on the table of this House.

† SRI D. B. KALMANKAR.—After the brochure was placed on the table of this House on 9th September 1969 there was lot of agitation and it was stated by many that the figures furnished in that brochure were not correct. May I know the reaction of the Government to that effect, Sir ?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL. - Whatever letters I had received I have replied them. With regard to discrepancies and incorrectness of the figures quoted in the brochure I have several times stated that if the Hon. Members want to discuss the matter, they are at liberty to do so. I think the Hon. Chair has also given permission to take up that subject for discussion in the near future.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಗುರೆಡ್ಡಿ —ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾದೇಶಿಕ ಅಸಮತೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಆ ಹಣದಲ್ಲೂ ಅಸಮತೆ ತೋರಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತೋ ಆ ಮಹತ್ವದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಆಗದೇ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕಾರಣವಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನೀವು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ಲಾನಿಂಗ್ ಕಮೀಷನ್ನವರು ಮತ್ತು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇಬ್ಬರು ಸೇರಿ ಈ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಯಾವರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅದನ್ನೇ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ.

---

† indicate that remarks or Speeches have not been revised by the nmembor Concerned.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯ.—ತಾವು (ಸಿ) ಮತ್ತು (ಡಿ) ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ Regarding the the development of various regions, the position has been set out in the Brochure placed by the Chief Minister on the table of the House on 9th September 1969 ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ನಮಗೆ ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಅನುಮಾನ ಏಕಪಕ್ಷೀಯ ಅನುಮಾನಗಳು. ನಿಮಗೆ ಯಾವ ಅನುಮಾನವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಅನುಮಾನ ಪರಿಹಾರವಾಗುವ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಒಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟ್ ಫೈಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಅಂತಹ ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ಅಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಅವರ ಒಂದು ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅದನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಟ್ಟರೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಆಸಮತೆಯೂ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.— ನಾನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ ಎಂದು ವಿನೂ ಹೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾವು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಅಂಕಿಅಂಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತಪ್ಪು ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಹೊಸ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮತ್ತೆ ಹಳೇ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಆಸಮತೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

SRI BAPU GOWDA.—Whether Government still feels that the figure furnished in that brochure are not correct?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have nevr said that the figures furnished are not correct. If I had felt that those figures were not correct, I would not have placed them on the table of this House. I have made all possible efforts to scrutinise the figures received from various departments. If the hon. Members were to point any discrepancies, I will certainly look into the matter.

SRI SIDDAIAH KASHIMATH.—May I know whether Sri M. V. Krishnappa, M. P., has sent his questionnaire to the Chief Minister of Mysore and to the Prime Minister of India?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL —I do not know whether he has sent his questionnaire to the Prime Minister of India But I have received the questionnaire.

SRI B. L. NARAYANASWAMY.—May I know the reactions of the Hon Member of Parliament who sent the questionnaire?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL —How can I say about reactions. He wrote something to which I have replied. It was only exchange of letters.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು (ಸಿ) ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ, "This will be considered at the proper time" ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಪ್ರಪರ್ ಟೈಂ ಅಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಬೇಕು ? ಹೈದ್ರಾಬಾದ್ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಬಹುಳ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ತಾವೇನಾದರೂ ಫೇಸ್ಡ್ ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಎಂದು ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಏನಾದರೂ ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡಿದಿರೆ ಆ ಫೇಸ್ಡ್ ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಏನು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್. - ಆ ವಿಚಾರ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೆಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಳನೇ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆ ಫೈನಲೈಸ್ ಆದನಂತರ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಬರುತ್ತದೋ ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಇದು ಬರುತ್ತದೋ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಆಆಯಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳ ಡೆವಲಪಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಕ್ಟಿವಿಟೀಸ್ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

SRI C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—If Mr. M. V. Krishnappa has given specific points, what prevents the Government to answer those points instead of giving statments and counter-statements in the press which creates complications in the minds of the public?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL —That is why I have not entered into that controversy. Whatever letters and questionnaires he wrote to me, all those have been published in the news papers. But so far as my reply to him is concerned, I never said it is meant for publication I have also not released it to the press. I don't want to create bad blood in the State.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next Question by Sri Boriah.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, few more supplementaries be allowed on the earlier question.

MR SPEAKER.—I have already gone to the next question. Anyway, I have allotted a day just before we disperse after this Budget Session for discussing this subject and Hon. Members will then have sufficient opporitunity to discuss it. Next question No. 334.

MEDICAL EXPENSES OF MINISTERS

334. Sri T. P. BORAIAH (Bannur).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:—the amount of expenditure incurred from April 1967 up todate towards medicines to each of the Ministrs, Ministers of State and Deputy Ministers.

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL (CHIEF MINISTER) —

vide statment appended.

STATEMENT

Showing the amount of expenditure incurred towards medicine from April 1967 up to dtte.

		Rs. P.
1	Chief Minister	2,658-08
2	Minister for Transport	9,972-06
3	Minister for Agriculture	1,823-12
4	Minister for Finance, Planning and Youth Welfare	1,385-39
5	Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs	631-30
6	Minister for Education	1,120-00